

EXTERNAL EXAMINER ANNUAL REPORT FORM

Guidance notes are available to support the completion of this Report and are available at <http://learning.cf.ac.uk/quality/review/external-examiners/reports/>.

| | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|--------------|
| | For completion by External Examiner: | | |
| Name of External Examiner: | Nick Lock | | |
| Home Institution / Employer of External Examiner: | Kingston University London St George's University of London | | |
| Programme and / or Subjects Covered by this Report | M level Radiography <i>MSc in Radiography and Diagnostic related modules</i> | | |
| Academic Year / Period Covered by this Report: | 15 -16 | Date of Report: | 27 July 2016 |

For completion by External Examiner in the spaces provided. Please extend spaces where necessary. **Please note this Form will be published online and should not make any reference to any individual students or members of staff.**

1. Programme Structure

The modular structure is good and well thought out; it provides clear pathways for progression. There is a good range of provision, and students have the opportunity to develop both academic and clinical skills.

2. Academic Standards

The standards are appropriately set and maintained through the use of clear rigorous protocols and procedures. Many modules confer clinical as well as academic competence; this competence is measured just as rigorously as the academic competencies. At the board there was a slight tendency to regard such modules with slightly lower mark profiles as in some way not as good as they could be – this is not the case. I was pleased that the wider team are to consider this issue at the course committee.

3. The Assessment Process

Each assessment is well designed and relates to the learning objectives; Guidance is clear for the students and the feedback is first class. I would urge a re think on the no draft policy esp. for international students who may be unused to UK healthcare and academic methods.

4. Year-on-Year Comments

This is my second year and I find that comments are discussed and acted upon when needed

5. Preparation / Induction Activity (for new External Examiners only)

N/A

6. Noteworthy Practice and Enhancement

I would particularly like to comment the assessment for HCT106 the involvement of radiologist in clinical practice to help develop the assessment is an example of interprofessional working and clear evidence that current clinical opinions are used.

I would also like to commend the use of TURNITIN for students to assess their own work as part of their academic development.

The clear communication and responsiveness of all of the staff (both academic and non academic) help enormously in my role – thank you

7. Comments on the Examination of Master's Dissertations (External Examiners for postgraduate Master's Programmes only, see also 9.23-9.29 below)

N/A

8. Appointment Overview (for retiring External Examiners only)

N/A

9. Annual Report Checklist

Please include appropriate comments within Sections 1-8 above for any answer of 'No'.

| | | Yes (Y) | No (N) | N/A (N/A) |
|--|---|------------|-----------|--------------|
| Programme/Course Information | | | | |
| 9.1 | Did you receive sufficient information about the Programme and its contents, learning outcomes and assessments? | X | | |
| 9.2 | Were you asked to comment on any changes to the assessment of the Programme? | X | | |
| Draft Examination Question Papers | | | | |
| 9.3 | Were you asked to approve all examination papers contributing to the final award? | | X | |
| 9.4 | Were the nature, spread and level of the questions appropriate? | X | | |
| 9.5 | Were suitable arrangements made to consider your comments? | X | | |
| Marking Examination Scripts | | | | |
| 9.6 | Did you receive a sufficient number of scripts to be able to assess whether the internal marking and classifications were appropriate and consistent? | X | | |
| 9.7 | Was the general standard and consistency of marking appropriate? | X | | |
| 9.8 | Were the scripts marked in such a way as to enable you to see the reasons for the award of given marks? | X | | |
| 9.9 | Were you satisfied with the standard and consistency of marking applied by the internal examiners? | X | | |
| 9.10 | In your judgement, did you have the opportunity to examine a sufficient cross-section of candidates' work contributing to the final assessment? | X | | |
| Coursework and Practical Assessments | | | | |
| 9.11 | Was the choice of subjects for coursework and / or practical assessments appropriate? | X | | |
| 9.12 | Were you afforded access to an appropriate sample of coursework and / or practical assessments? | X | | |
| 9.13 | Was the method and general standard of assessment appropriate? | X | | |
| 9.14 | Is sufficient feedback provided to students on their assessed work? | X | | |
| Clinical Examinations (if applicable) | | | | |
| 9.15 | Were satisfactory arrangements made for the conduct of clinical assessments? | X | | |
| Sampling of Work | | | | |
| 9.16 | Were you afforded sufficient time to consider samples of assessed work? | X | | |
| Examining Board Meeting | | | | |

| | | Yes (Y) | No (N) | N/A (N/A) |
|--|---|------------|-----------|--------------|
| 9.17 | Were you able to attend the Examining Board meeting? | X | | |
| 9.18 | Was the Examining Board conducted properly, in accordance with established procedures and to your satisfaction? | X | | |
| 9.19 | Cardiff University recognises the productive contribution of External Examiners to the assessment process and, in particular, to the work of the Examining Board. Have you had adequate opportunities to discuss the Programme and any outstanding concerns with the Examining Board or its officers? | X | | |
| Joint Examining Board Meeting (if applicable) | | | | |
| 9.20 | Did you attend a Composite Examining Board, i.e. one convened to consider the award of Joint Honours degrees? | | | X |
| 9.21 | If so, were you made aware of the procedures and conventions for the award of Joint Honours degrees? | | | X |
| 9.22 | Was the Composite Examining Board conducted according to its rules? | | | X |
| Examination of Master's Dissertations (if applicable) | | | | |
| 9.23 | Did you receive a sufficient number of Dissertations to be able to assess whether the internal marking and classifications were appropriate and consistent? | | | X |
| 9.24 | Was the sample in accordance with the University's sampling guidelines (guidelines provided below)? | | | X |
| 9.25 | Were you satisfied with the standard and consistency of marking applied by the Internal Examiners? | | | X |
| 9.26 | Were you able to attend the Master's Degree (Dissertation) Stage Examining Board? | | | X |
| 9.27 | If so, was the Examining Board conducted properly and in accordance with established procedures? | | | X |
| 9.28 | Were the schemes for marking and classification correctly applied? | | | X |
| 9.29 | Were the standards of the awards recommended appropriate? | | | X |

Please return this Report, preferably in a Microsoft Word format, by email to:

ExternalExaminers@cf.ac.uk

Your fee and expenses claim form and receipts, should be sent electronically to the above email address or in hard copy to:

External Examiners, Registry, Cardiff University, McKenzie House, 30-36 Newport Road, Cardiff, CF24 0DE

SAMPLING OF TAUGHT MASTER'S DISSERTATIONS BY EXTERNAL EXAMINERS

External Examiners shall be expected to see prescribed numbers and ranges of Dissertations, but not to mark them, on the following basis:

At least 10% of Dissertations for a postgraduate taught Master's Programme, or a minimum of 10 (whichever is the higher figure) must be seen by the External Examiner(s). Where the total number is less than 10, all Dissertations must be seen by the External Examiner(s) #.

Dissertations seen by External Examiners should include examples from across the whole range of achievement (i.e. Pass with Distinction, Pass, Fail).

External Examiners will retain the right to see other Dissertations at random.

Where more than one External Examiner is appointed on a Programme, at least 10% of Dissertations, or a minimum of 10 (whichever is the higher figure), should be seen collectively by the External Examiners.