



**EXTERNAL EXAMINER ANNUAL REPORT FORM**

The completion of this Report is supported by *Annual Report Form – Guidance to External Examiners*. The Guidance and this Form are available at: <http://www.cardiff.ac.uk/regis/ifs/exex/rep/index.html>. Fee information and claim forms are available at: <http://www.cardiff.ac.uk/regis/ifs/exex/fees/index.html> .

	For completion by External Examiner:		
Name of External Examiner:	Frank-Ulrich Fricke		
Home Institution / Employer of External Examiner:	Technische Hochschule Nürnberg Georg Simon Ohm		
Programme and / or Subjects Covered by this Report:	MSc in International Pharmacoconomics and Health Economics <i>MSc in International Pharmacoconomics &amp; Health Economics</i>		
Academic Year / Period Covered by this Report:	2015 – 2016	Date of Report:	June 10, 2016

For completion by External Examiner in the spaces provided. Please extend spaces where necessary. **Please note this Form will be published online.**

**1. Programme Structure**

The structure and content of the programme is appropriate given the stated objectives and learning outcomes. The programme reflects the current scientific discussion in the field.

**2. Academic Standards**

The programme is maintaining academic standards in accordance with international standards for master programmes in health economics. According to my experience, the academic standards and the achievements of students are comparable with those in other international programmes.

**3. The Assessment Process**

The assessment process is appropriately designed and applied in a majority of cases. In most exams, students’ achievements are measured rigorously and fairly against the intended learning outcomes of the programme. After my comments last year on missing comments by markers especially in cases with poor results I need to repeat that there is still room for improvement.

#### **4. Year-on-Year Comments**

Comparing the assessment process of this year with last year the programme is running smoothly and the external examination as well. As stated above, there is further room for improvement of the comments in cases with poor exam results.

#### **5. Preparation / Induction Activity (for new External Examiners only)**

n.a.

#### **6. Noteworthy Practice and Enhancement**

The programme provides ample opportunity for learning. Teaching and assessments strive to enhance the quality of the learning opportunities provided to students with great success.

#### **7. Appointment Overview (for retiring External Examiners only)**

n.a.

## 8. Annual Report Checklist

Please include appropriate comments within Sections 1-7 above for any answer of 'No'.

		Yes (Y)	No (N)	N/A (N/A)
<b>Programme/Course Information</b>				
8.1	Did you receive sufficient information about the Programme and its contents, learning outcomes and assessments?	X		
8.2	Were you asked to comment on any changes to the assessment of the Programme?	X		
<b>Draft Examination Question Papers</b>				
8.3	Were you asked to approve all examination papers contributing to the final award?	X		
8.4	Were the nature, spread and level of the questions appropriate?	X		
8.5	Were suitable arrangements made to consider your comments?	X		
<b>Marking Examination Scripts</b>				
8.6	Did you receive a sufficient number of scripts to be able to assess whether the internal marking and classifications were appropriate and consistent?	X		
8.7	Was the general standard and consistency of marking appropriate?	X		
8.8	Were the scripts marked in such a way as to enable you to see the reasons for the award of given marks?		X	
8.9	Were you satisfied with the standard and consistency of marking applied by the internal examiners?	X		
8.10	In your judgement, did you have the opportunity to examine a sufficient cross-section of candidates' work contributing to the final assessment?	X		
<b>Coursework and Practical Assessments</b>				
8.11	Was the choice of subjects for coursework and / or practical assessments appropriate?	X		
8.12	Were you afforded access to an appropriate sample of coursework and / or practical assessments?	X		
8.13	Was the method and general standard of assessment appropriate?	X		
8.14	Is sufficient feedback provided to students on their assessed work?	X		
<b>Clinical Examinations (if applicable)</b>				
8.15	Were satisfactory arrangements made for the conduct of clinical assessments?			X
<b>Sampling of Work</b>				
8.16	Were you afforded sufficient time to consider samples of assessed work?	X		
<b>Examining Board Meeting</b>				

		Yes (Y)	No (N)	N/A (N/A)
8.17	Were you able to attend the Examining Board meeting?	X		
8.18	Was the Examining Board conducted properly, in accordance with established procedures and to your satisfaction?	X		
8.19	Cardiff University recognises the productive contribution of External Examiners to the assessment process and, in particular, to the work of the Examining Board. Have you had adequate opportunities to discuss the Programme and any outstanding concerns with the Examining Board or its officers?	X		
<b>Joint Examining Board Meeting (if applicable)</b>				
8.20	Did you attend a Composite Examining Board, i.e. one convened to consider the award of Joint Honours degrees?			X
8.21	If so, were you made aware of the procedures and conventions for the award of Joint Honours degrees?			X
8.22	Was the Composite Examining Board conducted according to its rules?			X

Please return this Report, preferably in a Microsoft Word format, by email to:

[ExternalExaminers@cf.ac.uk](mailto:ExternalExaminers@cf.ac.uk)

Your fee and expenses claim form and receipts, should be sent electronically to the above email address or in hard copy to:

Clive Brown, Registry Officer, Registry & Academic Services, Cardiff University,  
McKenzie House, 30-36 Newport Road, Cardiff, CF24 0DE