

Specialist Unit for Review Evidence (SURE)

Questions to assist with the critical appraisal of cohort studies¹

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution - Non Commercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>

Citation:	
<i>Are there other companion papers from the same study?</i>	
	Yes/ Can't tell/ No
1. Is the study design clearly stated?	
2. Does the study address a clearly focused question? Consider: Population; Exposure (defined and accurately measured?); Comparator/Control; Outcomes.	
3. Are the setting, locations and relevant dates provided? Consider: recruitment period; exposure; follow-up & data collection.	
4. Were participants fairly selected? Consider: eligibility criteria; sources & selection of participants; method of follow-up; for matched studies – details of matching criteria and number of exposed or unexposed.	
5. Are participant characteristics provided? Consider if: sufficient details; a baseline table is included.	
6. Are the measures of exposures & outcomes appropriate? Consider if the methods of assessment are valid & reliable.	
7. Was bias considered? e.g. recall or selection bias	
8. Is there a description of how the study size was arrived at?	
9. Are the statistical methods well described? Consider: How missing data was handled; were potential sources of bias (confounding factors) controlled for; How loss to follow-up was addressed.	
10. Is information provided on participant flow? Consider if following provided: flow diagram; numbers of participants at each stage; details of drop-outs; details of missing participant data; follow-up time summarised; numbers of outcome events.	
11. Are the results well described? Consider if: effect sizes, confidence intervals/standard deviations provided; the conclusions are the same in the abstract and the full text.	
12. Is any sponsorship/conflict of interest reported?	
13. Finally...Did the authors identify any limitations and, if so, are they captured above?	
Summary	
<i>Add comments relating to areas of concern that were avoidable and a statement indicating if the results are reliable and/or useful.</i>	

This checklist should be cited as: Specialist Unit for Review Evidence (SURE) 2018. Questions to assist with the critical appraisal of cohort studies. Available at: <http://www.cardiff.ac.uk/insrv/libraries/sure/checklists.html>

¹ Devised with reference to the STROBE consideration and elaboration article: Vandembroucke JP, von Elm E, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Mulrow CD, et al. (2007) [Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology \(STROBE\): Explanation and Elaboration](#). PLoS Med 4(10): e297. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0040297