

EDUCATION PARTNERSHIPS TAXONOMY

The purpose of the Education Partnerships Taxonomy is to:

1. clearly define each type of education partnership activity (including research); and
2. provide a high-level, general risk assessment of each type of education partnership, and offering Schools some insight into the potential risks of entering such arrangements. However, it should be noted that each education partnership that the University enters in to is unique. Schools will be expected to complete a more in-depth risk assessment that considers both the potential high-level and operational risks associated with a proposed partnership arrangement.

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Risks
Service Level Agreements	SLAs are a single contract between two parties focusing on the type and quality of service provided to a client. This could be provision of learning support, resources and specialist facilities by an external organisation for credit and non-credit bearing activity	Dependent on each individual SLA	School	Risks are dependent on the objective and extent of the SLA. The importance of the objective of the SLA needs to be qualified and paired with the consequences of breaching the commitment. This is usually associated with the level of compensation if the SLA cannot be honoured.	This will be dependent of the type of service provided and the impact of any interruption of service e.g., 30 minutes compared with one day/week. The degrees of penalties will depend on the impact of the disruption and in extreme cases dissolution of contract.	If the SLA refers to teaching services or providing resources or facilities, careful consideration needs to be given on the impact to the programme e.g., if a Health Board are providing placement services, what is the impact on students if the placements are cancelled?	Contingency plans must be developed to ensure students can still meet the programme level learning outcomes if the services required are withdrawn.	Risks are dependent on the objective and extent of the SLA. The importance of the objective of the SLA needs to be qualified and paired with the consequences of breaching the commitment. This is usually associated with the level of compensation if the SLA cannot be honoured. Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment	This will be dependent of the type of service provided and the impact of any interruption of service e.g., 30 minutes compared with one day/week. The degrees of penalties will depend on the impact of the disruption and in extreme cases dissolution of contract.

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Risks
Non-credit bearing placements	<p>Opportunities outside of the programme curriculum which do not count for credit but can form a significant contribution to a student's graduate attributes'.</p> <p>It is not part of the programme of study but will appear on the HEAR</p>	Low	School/ Student Futures team are responsible for sourcing /arranging the placement/ time abroad.	<p>Finance These activities are supported by the Student Futures team (which is centrally funded) or by schools (and therefore managed withing existing budgets). As such, existing activities would only be at risk if budgetary pressures meant that they needed to be discontinued.</p> <p>New activity will only be developed where either Student Futures and/or a school has decided that it can be delivered within existing resources, or additional resourcing has been secured.</p>	<p>Finance These activities are supported by the Student Futures team (which is centrally funded) or by schools (and therefore managed withing existing budgets).</p> <p>New activity will only be developed where either Student Futures and/or a school has decided that it can be delivered within existing resources, or additional resourcing has been secured.</p>	<p>Availability If the activity is curtailed, impact upon graduate outcomes/the transition into the word of work and students' opportunity to develop wider employability/cultural skills.</p>	<p>Availability Student Futures is a centrally funded service, the risk is low that no extracurricular activities would be available to students, even if relevant activities within schools were curtailed.</p> <p>As this activity is non-credit bearing, the main impact of activities not running or being curtailed is on the student</p>	<p>Employers may not be able to adjust in the workplace for disabled students.</p> <p>The nature of these adjustments may vary depending on the resource capacity of each provider.</p> <p>Placements could be an area of difficulty for students in terms of witnessing and/or being the subject of discriminating behaviour.</p> <p>Please see the requirements f the University Integrated Impact Assessment</p>	<p>The Career Confident team (within Student Futures) work with WP students to create a tailored development plan, consisting of employability support, bespoke work experience and training. CareerConfident@cardiff.ac.uk</p> <p>For international activities, pre-departure briefing to explain the range of support, advice, and guidance at the partner / organisation. Guidance for students with protected characteristics going abroad has been developed with input from specialist teams.</p>

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Risks
Electives (DENTL / HCARE / MEDIC / PHRMY)	A period of time (often 6-12 weeks) spent away from a medical degree on a placement, often overseas. A wide range of other health-related degree courses can also include an elective, such as, nursing, physiotherapy, and pharmacy.	Low	Schools	Resourcing will have been explored as part of the programme approval process.		<p>Curriculum The elective does not cover the content at Cardiff requiring the School to catch up on return from the elective.</p> <p>The timing of the elective clashes with important Cardiff University assessment or reassessment precluding the student from progressing to the next stage of their programme.</p> <p>Student cannot attend the elective or it is cancelled at short notice.</p>	<p>Curriculum Specific mapping is required to ensure the student does not miss any key content or clinical activity that may require them to catch up. This must be checked before the student starts the elective.</p> <p>As above in addition to looking at the timing of key assessment/reassessment. Identifying if there are specific criteria that precludes students in any particular scenario.</p> <p>Student remains at Cardiff undertaking the original programme of study. Schools have contingency to catch up on clinical skills if required.</p>	<p>Placements could be an area of difficulty for students in terms of witnessing and/or being the subject of discriminating behaviour.</p> <p>Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment</p>	<p>Referral to the specific PSRB guidance on actions to be taken when on placement. Pre-departure briefing to explain the range of support, advice, and guidance at the partner / organisation. Guidance for students with protected characteristics going abroad has been developed with input from specialist teams.</p>

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Risks
<p>Progression Agreements</p> <p>(entry into year one of UG and PGT programmes only)</p>	<p>These are arrangements whereby students who have completed a programme at one organisation successfully may be considered for entry to the beginning, of an approved Cardiff University programme</p>	<p>Low</p>	<p>Approval/Renewal process: International Partnerships Team</p> <p>Operational Management: International Partnerships Team / Schools/Colleges</p>	<p>Arrangements with multiple partners with small numbers increases the administrative burden in Schools / Colleges.</p> <p>No automatic process in place in SIMS to track students entering from a partner. Manual processes used by staff in Schools to track progress and outcomes of students entering via articulation agreements.</p>	<p>Entering into agreements with fewer partners where possible although this may not always be feasible due to subject offering, market demands etc.</p> <p>Entering into agreements with fewer partners but with more programmes to build viable pipeline of students where the curriculum is known and can assure successful student progression where possible to do so.</p> <p>Discussions with International Partnerships Team on current market dynamics, economic conditions, student decision making behaviour etc.</p> <p>System development within SIMS to make tracking of students entering via progression agreements simpler.</p>	<p>Admissions: If the admissions requirements are too low students may not have the key skills, knowledge, or experience to be successful in year 1 of the Cardiff programme.</p>	<p>Boards of studies to review the progression rates for students entering via progression agreements in line with other student progression. Feedback given to the International Partnerships Team to see if admissions requirements need updating on a year-by-year basis.</p>	<p>Equity of decision making: Applicants via progression agreements must be subject to the same/similar entry requirements to those applying via direct entry.</p> <p>Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment</p>	<p>All staff involved in the Admissions process must receive the necessary training and support to ensure that an efficient, professional, and competent service is provided to applicants. Training will address legal and external constraints, including equality and diversity legislation.</p>

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Risks
<p>Standard Articulation agreements</p> <p>(year 2 entry maximum for UG and 50% of taught credit for PGT).</p>	<p>Students who have successfully completed a programme/part of a programme at one education partner may be eligible to utilise the credit to enter an approved Cardiff University programme at an advanced stage.</p>	<p>Low</p>	<p>Approval/Renewal: International Partnerships Team</p> <p>Operational Management: International Partnerships Team / Schools/Colleges</p>	<p>Arrangements with multiple partners with small numbers increases the administrative burden in Schools / Colleges.</p> <p>No automatic process in place in SIMS to track students entering from a partner. Manual processes used by staff in Schools to track progress and outcomes of students entering via articulation agreements.</p>	<p>Entering into agreements with fewer partner but with more programmes, where possible to do so.</p> <p>Entering into agreements with fewer partners but with more programmes to build viable pipeline of students where the curriculum is known and can assure successful student progression where possible, bearing in mind that certain partner institutions by nature will only work well for certain subject areas.</p> <p>Discussions with International Partnerships Team on current market dynamics, economic conditions, student decision making behaviour etc.</p> <p>System development within SIMS to make tracking of students entering via articulation agreements simpler.</p>	<p>Academic standards.</p> <p><u>Deficiency in curriculum mapping:</u> If this is not done with sufficient due diligence, student can lack critical knowledge, skills and experience in future year of study.</p> <p>Schools putting on bespoke modules to remedy the deficiency whilst the student is at Cardiff. The student is not on an approved Cardiff University programme but a bespoke programme set up for individual students.</p>	<p>Academic standards</p> <p>Curriculum must be mapped to show how students meet the programme-level learning outcomes of approved Cardiff University programmes.</p> <p>If students are required to study a modified version of the approved Cardiff University programme, a review will need to be undertaken to ascertain the level of change and the associated risk of implementing the change. Where possible, the change should be managed by a Board of Studies or through the changes over the threshold process.</p>	<p>Equity of decision making: Applicants via progression agreements must be subject to the same/similar entry requirements to those applying via direct entry</p> <p>Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment</p>	<p>All staff involved in the Admissions process must receive the necessary training and support to ensure that an efficient, professional, and competent service is provided to applicants. Training will address legal and external constraints, including equality and diversity legislation.</p>

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Risks
<p>Non-standard articulation agreements*</p> <p>(final year of UG programme)</p>	<p>Students who have successfully completed a programme/part of a programme at one education partner may be eligible to utilise the credit to enter an approved Cardiff University programme at an advanced stage (year 3 of a UG programme).</p> <p>*The International Office have an agreed set of partners where this is permitted.</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>Approval/Renewal process: International Partnerships Team</p> <p>Operational Management: Regional Manager / Schools/Colleges</p>	<p>Through MEDR review, this will be seen as a high-risk arrangement, and they will need to understand how the risks are managed.</p> <p>Institutional value of the partnership Small numbers of students on international agreements</p> <p>Financial <u>Only one year of fees</u> with the possibility of reduction for overseas preferred or strategic partners..</p>	<p>Institutional value of the partnership The 'value' of the partnership will go beyond student recruitment and will specifically align with several institutional strategies e.g., development of the University's TNE strategy, developing future strategic partnerships etc.</p> <p>Financial A number of students who enter via non-standard articulation agreements stay to pursue PGT study.</p>	<p>Reputational risk Lack of due diligence on partner including adverse publicity, cultural and political norms, financial status, litigation or arbitration proceedings, government enquiries etc</p> <p>Academic standards Lack of due diligence on curriculum mapping or making changes to an approved Cardiff University programme to accommodate gaps in curriculum.</p> <p><u>Issuing a Cardiff University Award after 1 year of study:</u> Students graduating from Cardiff with only one year of study may affect degree outcomes impacting the sector view of the institution.</p>	<p>Reputational Risk Agreement made on a case-by-case basis with only partners where appropriate due diligence checks have been made. These will be limited to preferred partners.</p> <p>The Recruitment and Admissions Strategy Group will determine and confirm who Cardiff consider to be a preferred partner.</p> <p>Academic standards If students are required to study a modified version of the approved Cardiff University programme, a review will need to be undertaken to ascertain the level of change and the associated risk of implementing the change. Where possible, the change should be managed by a Board of Studies or through the changes over the threshold process.</p> <p><u>Issuing a Cardiff University Award after 1 year of study:</u> Monitoring and review of student outcomes data to see the impact on degree outcomes and the success of the arrangement each year.</p>	<p>Equality Entry to year 3 only being available to preferred overseas partners needs to have a clear rationale. The rationale and approach to determining Cardiff's preferred partner is led by the Recruitment and Admissions Strategy Group.</p> <p>International students who do not come from the specific preferred partners may question why they do not have the opportunity to be considered for final year entry.</p> <p>Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment</p>	<p>Equality</p>

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Risks
Placement modules (up to 40 credits)	Activity (usually short placement up to 70 hours) is integral to the module learning outcomes.	Medium	<p>School (including students self-sourcing).</p> <p>Extracurricular placements sourced by Student Futures can be 'used' as placements for relevant modules where suitable.</p> <p>The Global Opportunities Team is able to support international opportunities.</p> <p>The GO Wales Team (within Student Futures) can help source work shadowing opportunities, work tasters and up to six weeks paid work placements for students who have faced barriers in accessing higher education or work experience: Gowales@cardiff.ac.uk.</p>	<p>Finance</p> <p>Resourcing will have been explored as part of the school approval process.</p>		<p>Programme design</p> <p>Programme design offers limited opportunity to undertake a placement module in the curriculum.</p> <p>Not completing the placement impacts on the student's ability to pass the module.</p> <p>Early termination of placement</p>	<p>Programme design</p> <p>Where modules are delivered 'short and fat', Schools could consider whether the placement//fieldtrip module could be available in both semesters to maximise the opportunities available for placements at a partner to be secured.</p> <p>Where modules are delivered 'long and thin', Schools need to give consideration to when the placement will take place.</p> <p>All placement modules would need to include a contingency in the event that the placement could not be completed.</p> <p>As hybrid working had become normalised, then placements involve a significant amount/totally remote working can be approved provided they enable the student to meet the relevant learning outcomes.</p>	<p>Due diligence identifies there may be limitations, or no adjustments can be made for students particularly in placements overseas.</p> <p>The nature of these adjustments may vary depending on the resource capacity of each provider.</p> <p>Placements could be an area of difficulty for students in terms of witnessing and/or being the subject of discriminating behaviour.</p> <p>Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment</p>	<p>For UK placements, the University's Disability and Dyslexia Service can assist employers by ensuring that they are aware of their obligations and by providing good practice guidance and support.</p> <p>Each international partner will need to be assessed on a case-by-case basis for appropriateness and the student declaration process will be important.</p> <p>Pre-departure briefing to explain the range of support, advice, and guidance at the partner / organisation. Guidance for students with protected characteristics going abroad has been developed with input from specialist teams.</p>

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & inclusion Risks
Mandatory placements required by PSRB.	<p>The placement is a core component of the student's programme of study and is also PSRB mandated (e.g., MEDIC, HCARE, DENTL, PHRMY, OPTOM and PSYCH).</p> <p>The placement activity cannot be varied as to do so is unlikely to satisfy PSRB requirements.</p>	Medium	Schools are responsible for sourcing /arranging the placement.	<p>All placements are adequately resourced for all settings.</p> <p>Significant administrative burden on co-ordinating placements within a variety of different settings.</p> <p>Demands is greater than supply of placements</p>	<p>Resourcing will have been explored as part of the school planning clinical placements requirements.</p> <p>Contractual agreement with placement providers and prioritising placement needs</p>	<p>clinical placement providers are not aware of the learning outcomes required for each placement. This includes the knowledge, skills and behaviours students will need to acquire in order to complete their logbooks.</p> <p>Students have clinical supervision curtailed due to the individual circumstance of clinical staffing/facilities or emergency situations.</p>	<p>formal, written agreements with all placement providers.</p> <p>Contingency planning principles for placements in emergency situations were developed for Covid-19. These will continue to be reviewed and updated as a mainstream requirement</p> <p>Any variation to the programme including contingencies must be approved by the Chair of ASQC.</p>	<p>placements could be an area of difficulty for students in terms of witnessing and/or being the subject of discriminating behaviour.</p> <p>Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment</p>	<p>Referral to the specific PSRB guidance on actions to be taken when on placement. Pre-departure briefing to explain the range of support, advice, and guidance at the partner</p>

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Risks
Placements / year of study abroad with mandatory language requirements	This is a core component of the student's programme of study. These programmes are predominantly located within MLANG where they are presented with three broad scenarios i.e., study abroad, work, or teaching all with mandatory language requirements.	Medium	School/ Student Futures team are responsible for sourcing /arranging the placement/ time abroad	<p>Resourcing will have been explored as part of the school approval process. Where undertaken overseas, funding for these placements is sourced via Turing and/or Taith, and therefore the opportunities are contingent on the level of grant awarded.</p> <p>Cost associated with conducting site visits to establish and renew partnership arrangements.</p> <p>Not recruiting sufficient numbers of incoming students to be able to maintain equilibrium of exchange balance.</p>	<p>The University has, to date, agreed an underwrite to ensure that opportunities are available in the event that external funding is not obtained.</p> <p>While the site visit to inspect the premise can be undertaken by any staff who may be visiting the area, understanding whether the curriculum will be appropriate is key. As such, colleagues from Schools at Cardiff and the partner can meet via an online meeting platform to discuss, with colleagues from Quality/Go being present as necessary to support the meeting/any other work that may be required.</p>			<p>Due diligence identifies there may be limitations, or no adjustments can be made for students particularly in placements overseas.</p> <p>Placements/study abroad could be an area of difficulty for students in terms of witnessing and/or being the subject of discriminating behaviour.</p> <p>Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment</p>	<p>For UK placements, the University's Disability and Dyslexia Service (DDS) can assist employers by ensuring that they are aware of their obligations and by providing good practice guidance and support. For international placements, the DDS assists with the process of ensuring that reasonable adjustments are in place at partner universities.</p> <p>Each international partner will need to be assessed on a case-by-case basis for appropriateness and the student declaration process will be important.</p> <p>Pre-departure briefing to explain the range of support, advice, and guidance at the partner / organisation. Guidance for students with protected characteristics going abroad has been developed with input from specialist teams.</p>

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Risks
Integral 120 credits of placement/study abroad.	Where the student has been enrolled onto a programme where the placement/study abroad year is a defining element in the programme information and is reflected in the award e.g., XXX with a Professional Placement Year	Medium	School/ Student Futures team are responsible for sourcing /arranging the placement/ time abroad.	Resourcing will have been explored as part of the school approval process. Where undertaken overseas, funding for these placements is sourced via Turing and/or Taith, and therefore the opportunities are contingent on the level of grant awarded.	The University has, to date, agreed an underwrite to ensure that opportunities are available for students up to a specified household income in the event that external funding is not obtained. For other students a competitive application process is in place to award any additional external funding received.	<p>Joint Honours programmes with 120 credits placement/study abroad activity is available in some Schools (not all) and the risk is that the student is accepted when the programme is not structured to support the activity.</p> <p>Students are not appropriately advised or do not take sufficient credit to pass the 120 credits.</p> <p>Students do not engage with activity whilst on placement/study abroad.</p>	<p>Arrangements should be confirmed by both Schools (not the student) before students can undertake their placement/study abroad activity and should be cross referenced against a list of all programmes that offer the opportunity.</p> <p>Education arrangements are be approved by EPSC before students can undertake their placement/study abroad activity.</p> <p>Compliance with the requirements outlined in the Education Partnership Policy</p> <p>In the event on non-engagement/failing the placement activity, the student will be required to transfer to the standard 3-year variant of the programme.</p>	<p>Due diligence identifies there may be limitations, or no adjustments can be made for students particularly in placements overseas.</p> <p>Placements/study abroad could be an area of difficulty for students in terms of witnessing and/or being the subject of discriminating behaviour.</p> <p>Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment</p>	<p>For UK placements, the University's Disability and Dyslexia Service (DDS) can assist employers by ensuring that they are aware of their obligations and by providing good practice guidance and support. For international placements, the DDS assists with the process of ensuring that reasonable adjustments are in place at partner universities.</p> <p>Each international partner will need to be assessed on a case-by-case basis for appropriateness and the student declaration process will be important.</p> <p>Pre-departure briefing to explain the range of support, advice, and guidance at the partner /organisation. Guidance for students with protected characteristics going abroad has been developed with input from specialist teams.</p>

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Risks
<p>Flying faculty*</p> <p>*does not include staff undertaking consultancy work</p>	<p>An arrangement whereby an approved Cardiff University programme is delivered in a location away from the main campus (usually in another country)</p> <p>Cardiff University students even if it is taught in another institution with a single Cardiff University Award</p> <p>Students at the partner organisation are classed as 'Cardiff' students with the same rights and responsibilities.</p>	<p>This is seen as higher risk arrangement compared to UK partnerships</p>	<p>School with institutional oversight by the College.</p>	<p>Strategic Strategic consideration of whether it would be appropriate to undertake the proposed collaboration in view of the University's existing collaborative arrangements and other commitments.</p> <p>Staff resource Significant implications on staff costs to ensure the programme can be delivered in a way as if it were being delivered at Cardiff. Cardiff University staff undertake all teaching, assessment, and marking in line with CU regulations, policies and procedures.</p> <p>Staff engagement Unwillingness to travel for blocks of study overseas compromising the ability to deliver the necessary programme/part of programme</p> <p>Additional costing for flights, accommodation, delivery, and teaching resources.</p> <p>Additional administrative resources to run the programme in addition to existing programmes at Cardiff in line with the</p>	<p>Strategic The 'price' of the contract needs full costing. The institution must be satisfied that there is a sound business case for the programme based upon realistic projections of revenues and full and accurate costing of activities.</p> <p>Staff resource and the physical impact on staff Where workload model limits Cardiff University staff from delivering, an additional 'workforce' will be required.</p> <p>Full due diligence of partner undertaken as part of the Stage 1 strategic approval requirements.</p>	<p>Reputational risk Lack of detailed due diligence on partner including adverse publicity, cultural and political norms, financial status, litigation or arbitration proceedings, government enquiries etc</p> <p>Legal Lack of detailed formal agreement between Cardiff, and partner that do not set out the "respective roles, responsibilities and expectations" of each of the parties and the breaks down. Early termination of agreement may result in students coming to Cardiff to complete their programme.</p> <p>Lack of school oversight and the programme is not fit for purpose</p>	<p>Reputational Risk Full due diligence of partner undertaken as part of the Stage 1 strategic approval requirements.</p> <p>Legal Agreement made on a case-by-case basis with only partners where appropriate due diligence checks have been made.</p> <p>Contingency plan must be developed as part of the risk management strategy. Legal arrangements, including the legal jurisdiction under which disputes will be resolved; annexes with details of the programme(s) covered by the agreement</p> <p>Full approval as outlined in the Education Partnership Policy</p>	<p>Staff may be unfamiliar with different cultural and political norms, may present difficulties for staff with protected characteristics.</p> <p>Staff may encounter the issue of working with students who do not have English as their first language; academics have to adapt the style, tone and content of their materials in order to facilitate communication.</p> <p>The physical impact on staff engaging in a 'flying-faculty' model should also not be underestimated and carefully considered (long-haul flights, long hours, backlog of work on their return to the University etc).</p> <p>Partner may not be able to make reasonable adjustments for disabled staff. The nature of these adjustments may vary depending on the resource</p>	<p>Each international partner will need to be assessed on a case-by-case basis for appropriateness and the staff declaration process will be important to manage any reasonable adjustments that will be required.</p> <p>Pre-departure briefing to explain the range of support, advice, and guidance at the partner.</p>

				<p>requirements set out in the Education Partnership Policy.</p> <p>Facilities at the partner institution Facilities may not be in line with those at Cardiff and may need investment before the agreement can be pursued.</p>		<p>Schools enter into agreements without institutional knowledge or understanding of the risks etc. Issues become apparent after the arrangement has been signed leading to reputational risk, partner, and student dissatisfaction on a large scale.</p> <p>Using 'in country' staff expertise. Using local partner staff to 'teach' some or all the curriculum without the skills/expertise to do so leading to students not achieving their intended learning outcomes resulting in complaint or appeal.</p> <p>Student experience Poor student experience due to the lack of organisation and management of the arrangement.</p>	<p>Oversight provided by the Education Partnership Sub-committee.</p> <p>If partner staff are being used, this will need to be identified as part of the full due diligence of partner undertaken as part of the Stage 1 strategic approval requirements.</p> <p>Support for students may be provided by local staff if it has been appropriately risk assessed.</p> <p>Ensuring clear education governance structures are in place with regular updates to school and College ESEC.</p>	<p>capacity of each provider.</p> <p>Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment</p>	
--	--	--	--	---	--	--	---	---	--

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Risks
Franchised programmes	<p>A process by which Cardiff University agrees to authorise another organisation to deliver (and sometimes assess) part or all of one (or more) of its own approved programmes on its behalf.</p> <p>Cardiff University owns the programme.</p> <p>We have responsibility to ensure it is delivered in accordance with all Cardiff regulations, policies, and procedures even when it is not taught by Cardiff University staff.</p>	High	School and College	<p>Strategic Strategic consideration of whether it would be appropriate to undertake the proposed collaboration in view of the University's existing collaborative arrangements and other commitments.</p> <p>Staff resource to train partner organisation staff, where applicable</p> <p>Significant implications on staff costs to ensure the programme can be delivered in a way as if it were being delivered at Cardiff.</p> <p>Staff teaching (dependent on the arrangement) Unwillingness to travel for blocks of study overseas compromising the ability to deliver the necessary programme/part of programme</p> <p>Additional costing for flights, accommodation, delivery, and teaching resources.</p> <p>Additional administrative resources to run the programme in addition to existing programmes at Cardiff in line with the requirements set out in the</p>	<p>Strategic The 'price' of the contract needs full costing. The institution must be satisfied that there is a sound business case for the programme based upon realistic projections of revenues and full and accurate costing of activities.</p> <p>Full due diligence of partner undertaken as part of the Stage 1 strategic approval of the partner and the partners ability to deliver the programme.</p> <p>Where workload model limits Cardiff University staff from delivering, an additional 'workforce' will be required.</p>	<p>Reputational risk Lack of detailed due diligence on partner including adverse publicity, cultural and political norms, financial status, litigation or arbitration proceedings, government enquiries etc</p> <p>Legal Lack of detailed formal agreement between Cardiff, and partner that do not set out the "respective roles, responsibilities and expectations" of each of the parties and the breaks down. Early termination of agreement may result in students coming to Cardiff to complete their programme.</p> <p>Lack of school oversight and the programme is not fit for purpose Schools enter into agreements without institutional knowledge or</p>	<p>Reputational Risk Full due diligence of partner undertaken as part of the Stage 1 strategic approval requirements.</p> <p>Legal Agreement made on a case-by-case basis with only partners where appropriate due diligence checks have been made.</p> <p>Contingency plan must be developed as part of the risk management strategy. Legal arrangements, including the legal jurisdiction under which disputes will be resolved; annexes with details of the programme(s) covered by the agreement</p> <p>Full approval as outlined in the Education Partnership Policy</p>	<p>Staff may be unfamiliar with different cultural and political norms, may present difficulties for staff with protected characteristics. Staff may encounter the issue of working with students who do not have English as their first language; academics must adapt the style, tone and content of their materials in order to facilitate communication.</p> <p>Partner may not be able to make reasonable adjustments for disabled staff. The nature of these adjustments may vary depending on the resource capacity of each provider.</p> <p>Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment</p>	<p>Each international partner will need to be assessed on a case-by-case basis for appropriateness and the staff declaration process will be important to manage any reasonable adjustments that will be required.</p> <p>Pre-departure briefing to explain the range of support, advice, and guidance at the partner.</p>

				<p>Education Partnership Policy.</p> <p>Facilities at the partner institution Facilities may not be in line with those at Cardiff and may need investment before the agreement can be pursued.</p> <p>Financial Financial failure of the education provider.</p> <p>Resources to support the administrative and relationship management of the provision.</p> <p>Partnership does not realise the expected gains as outlined in the business case.</p>		<p>understanding of the risks etc. Issues become apparent after the arrangement has been signed leading to reputational risk, partner, and student dissatisfaction on a large scale.</p> <p>Using 'in country' staff expertise. Using local partner staff to 'teach' some or all the curriculum without the skills/expertise to do so leading to students not achieving their intended learning outcomes resulting in complaint or appeal.</p> <p>Student experience Poor student experience due to the lack of organisation and management of the arrangement.</p>	<p>Oversight provided by the Education Partnership Subcommittee.</p> <p>If partner staff are being used, this will need to be identified as part of the full due diligence of partner undertaken as part of the Stage 1 strategic approval requirements.</p> <p>Ensuring clear education governance structures are in place with regular updates to school and College ESEC.</p>		
--	--	--	--	---	--	---	---	--	--

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Risks
Embedded Colleges	<p>Embedded College offering Pathway Courses means a sponsor recognised by the Home Office as a private provider, usually part of a network and operating within or near to the premises of a higher education institution, delivering pathway courses which prepare students for entry to higher education programmes at that a higher education institution.</p> <p>This does not include pre-sessional courses.</p>	High		<p>Financial</p> <p>Financial failure of the education provider.</p> <p>Physical teaching resources may be required to support the partnership.</p> <p>Resources to support the administrative and relationship management of the provision.</p> <p>Partnership does not realise the expected gains as outlined in the business case.</p>	<p>Embedded Colleges are checked by the OfS and MEDR on financial sustainability, management, and governance (the FSMG check).</p> <p>Build into the project phase of the initiative to ensure there are suitable arrangements for meeting with schools and governance.</p> <p>Review of all contracts within the first year and then 18 months before the end of the contract against key metrics.</p>	<p>Academic standards</p> <p>Provider's arrangements for setting and maintaining the academic standards and quality of the courses it offers is limited.</p> <p>Governance arrangements do not have sufficient oversight of the quality of student achievement.</p> <p>Curriculum</p> <p>Gaps in curriculum may impact on successful student progression.</p>	<p>Academic standards</p> <p>Embedded colleges are subject to a full QAA review every four years.</p> <p>Co-developed oversight group with representation from each school</p> <p>Curriculum</p> <p>Co-developed oversight group with representation from each school</p>	<p>Equity of decision making: Applicants via progression agreements must be subject to the same/similar entry requirements to those applying via direct entry</p> <p>Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment</p>	<p>All staff involved in the Admissions process must receive the necessary training and support to ensure that an efficient, professional, and competent service is provided to applicants. Training will address legal and external constraints, including equality and diversity legislation.</p>

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Risks
<p>Branch campus – International*</p> <p>*Each proposal may differ in nature/approach and this will be highlighted in the business case to UEB.</p>	<p>An international branch campus (IBC) is a form of international higher education whereby one or more partnering institutions establishes a physical presence in a foreign location for the purpose of expanding global outreach and student exchange.</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>Full institution - UEB</p>	<p>Setting up a 'mini' university in another location. It would require significant investment in staff, facilities to replicate what happens at Cardiff in another location.</p> <p>Standards and facilities must remain consistent throughout all campuses</p> <p>Approval requires dedicated resource and time as each arrangement is individual in its nature.</p>	<p>Not all universities aim to replicate the home campus, but all seek to have equivalent educational experiences across campus spaces.</p> <p>A geographically dispersed network of campuses may provide a buffer for universities looking for ways to mitigate fallout from unforeseen international crisis.</p> <p>Using faculty based in the country and avoiding the “flying faculty” model can benefit but comes with a range of other recruitment and HR issues.</p>	<p>Reputational risk Lack of detailed due diligence on the full costs, legal and administrative costs and functions which compromise the quality and standards of delivery of an IBC</p> <p>Lack of monitoring/oversight of the arrangements leading to lowering of standards. Identified as part of formal Quality Enhancement Review process, conducted on behalf of Medr, leading to a negative judgement.</p> <p>Using existing Cardiff staff hard to persuade faculty to relocate overseas for extended periods of time, despite offers for attractive salary and benefits. Moving family is arduous and research-intensive faculty would be reluctant to leave their labs.</p> <p>Using teaching staff</p>	<p>Reputational risk Full due diligence and a cost analysis to be completed and taken through the appropriate committee structure with Council providing final approval of such arrangements.</p> <p>Depending on the nature of the arrangement, programme delivery, monitoring, and review will be overseen by the Education Partnership Sub-Committee.</p> <p>Additional professional services resource needed to work collaboratively with staff at Cardiff and support consistent application of Regulations, policies, processes and procedures for the effective management and protection of the Cardiff award.</p>	<p>This will vary dependent on the nature of the arrangement.</p> <p>Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment</p>	

						<p>from within the Country Using local partner staff to 'teach' some or all of the curriculum without the skills/expertise to do so. Teachers are more likely to have professional, rather than academic, experience leading to lower academic standards than at Cardiff.</p> <p>Student satisfaction Cardiff could be seen to be selling the experience of living in the Country, but little emphasis on lack of focus on "pedagogical" matters, the university's buildings, where the students learn, and the extracurricular activities they can do.</p> <p>Education governance Lack of integration into the academic and administrative functions of the institution leads to the IBC becoming siloed and wholly separate</p>			
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Risks
Dual / Double awards	<p>Two or more awarding bodies together provide a single jointly delivered programme leading to separate awards and separate certification (for one piece of work).</p> <p>Each degree-awarding body is responsible for its own award, but the two components form a single package, and the overall arrangement is a joint enterprise that requires elements of joint management and oversight.</p>	High	School and College	<p>Through MEDR review, this will be seen as a high-risk arrangement, and they will need to understand how the risks are managed.</p> <p><u>Financial</u> Significant implications on staff costs to ensure the programme can be delivered in a way as if it were being delivered at Cardiff. Additional costing for accommodation, delivery and teaching resources. The 'price' of the contract needs full costing so there is a clear picture of 'profit' rather than 'income'. Identifying how any early termination of agreement will be managed and any financial, reputational and quality and standards arrangements that would need to be put in place.</p> <p><u>Sites and partnerships of Cardiff University</u> - Need to be registered with the Home Office particularly if international students are admitted to the programme</p> <p><u>Staff resource</u> - Resource of ensuring partner organisation staff have 'honorary contracts' to enable them to have access to the appropriate teaching/IT systems. Additional resources to</p>	<p><u>Institutional Oversight</u></p> <p>Issues identified in D6 cannot be approved by one part of the institution only e.g., School, College or UEB. Whilst UEB may agree that it would be beneficial to help achieve some of the institutional strategies, this is not the body to approve the arrangement.</p> <p>Approval requires dedicated resource and time as each arrangement is individual in its nature.</p> <p>The overarching principle is that the quality and standards of all Cardiff's collaborative provision arrangements must be as rigorous, secure, and open to scrutiny as those for programmes delivered entirely by Cardiff University.</p> <p><u>Any proposals of this nature will still need to complete all stages of the approval procedure</u></p>	<p><u>Reputational risk</u> School enter into an agreement without detailed due diligence on the full costs, legal and administrative costs and functions.</p> <p><u>Lack of Institutional and School monitoring/oversight of the arrangements including delivery of the approved curriculum.</u> Cardiff University regulations, policies and procedures not being adhered to leading to difference in standards and operating models for a Cardiff University Award. No monitoring of teaching standards resulting in complaints/appeals.</p> <p><u>Using teaching staff from a partner organisation</u> Using local partner staff to 'teach' some or all of the curriculum without the skills/expertise to do so will lead to a decline in quality and standards for a Cardiff University award. If teachers are more likely to have professional,</p>	<p><u>How will schools effectively monitor teaching and assessment?</u></p> <p><u>Education governance</u> Integration into the School academic and administrative functions of the institution must be integrated into new education governance arrangements identified in the academic regulations.</p> <p><u>Monitoring and review of the effectiveness of the agreements</u></p> <p>Partner staff views as well as student views are important given the type of arrangement.</p>	<p>Students may be unfamiliar with different cultural and political norms, may present difficulties for students with protected characteristics.</p> <p>Partner may not be able to make reasonable adjustments for disabled students The nature of these adjustments may vary depending on the arrangement</p> <p>Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment</p>	<p>Each international partner will need to be assessed on a case-by-case basis for appropriateness and the student declaration process will be important.</p> <p>Pre-departure briefing to explain the range of support, advice, and guidance at the partner.</p>

				<p>administer the programme in addition to existing programmes at Cardiff. Additional central resource to deal with the complaints, appeals and other central requirements.</p> <p><u>Cardiff Staff engagement with partner staff -</u> Insufficient staff in Schools to support partner organisation academic and profession service staff to ensure they understand and meet the requirements of all CU regs, policies and procedures.</p> <p><u>Facilities at the partner institution -</u> Facilities may not be in line with those at Cardiff and may need investment before the agreement can be pursued.</p>	<p><u>set out in the programme approval procedure and</u> Education Partnerships Policy including any additional requirements based on the nature and complexity of the proposal.</p>	<p>rather than academic, experience then how will the academic elements of the programme be taught and assessed?</p> <p><u>Student satisfaction</u> Cardiff could be seen to be selling the experience of working with another partner organisation, but little emphasis on lack of focus on “pedagogical” matters, resulting in a poor student experience with poor academic standards.</p> <p><u>Education governance</u> Lack of integration into the School academic and administrative functions of the institution leads to the partnership and partner staff becoming siloed and wholly separate.</p> <p><u>Monitoring and review of the effectiveness of the agreements in</u> respect of student numbers and progression, retention and award not undertaken annually therefore little evaluation of its success.</p> <p>Increased number of complaints and appeals</p>			
--	--	--	--	---	---	--	--	--	--

Education Partnership Activity	Description	Risk Category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: Resource (including financial risks)	Proposed Mitigation: Resource Risks	Risk: Education Governance	Proposed Mitigation: Education Governance Risks	Risks: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Proposed Mitigation: Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Risks
Validation	Where another organisation, without degree awarding powers, develops a module or programme; and Cardiff University will award academic credit for the course of study, recognising it as meeting a comparable appropriate quality and standard to Cardiff provision.	High							

- Cardiff University **do not own the programme** – it belongs to another organisation.
- The programme is delivered, assessed, and **managed solely by the partner organisation.**
- The partner organisation **uses its own regulations, policies, and procedures.**
- Cardiff University **does not collect fees** but arranges a specific contract price.

Key issues

- The programme will be presented to the Programme and Revalidation Sub-committee **for approval** (even though we do not own the provision)
- **Students receive a Cardiff University award.**
- Students are not Cardiff University students **but have the right to appeal at Cardiff after exhausting all internal procedures at the partner.**

Education partnership taxonomy - research degrees

Partnership activity	Description	Risk category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: resource (inc. financial)	Proposed mitigation (resource risks)	Risk: education governance	Proposed mitigation (education governance)	Risk: EDI	Proposed mitigation (EDI)
Collaborative supervision	An external supervisor is included in the supervisory team: they may be from an academic/ research/ industry/ professional organisation or (rarely) a private individual. It is not usual for the external supervisor to receive payment	Low	School	Withdrawal of the services of the external supervisor Risk is dependent on the specific input of the external supervisor and their importance to the success of the project	Collaborative Supervision Form details contingency arrangements	Lack of clarity on arrangements may impact student satisfaction scores (e.g. PRES survey) or lead to student complaints	Collaborative Supervision Form details arrangements	Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment	-
External placement	Student undertakes a stage of their planned research in another organisation under local (third party) supervision for that period OR A policy placement is integrated into the programme but is not directly related to their project	Low	School	Closure of the partner organisation Inadequate resources for the placement to be successful	Resources and finances explored and detailed in the placement agreement	Placement provider may not provide an appropriate research/training environment, and student may become disconnected from the School environment, resulting in a poor student experience	Students continue to be included in School research/training activities Students continue to have key points of engagement with Cardiff supervisors, progress reviewers and DPGRs during placements	Students may be unfamiliar with different cultural and political norms; may present difficulties for students with protected characteristics Partner may not be able to make reasonable adjustments for disabled students The nature of these adjustments may vary depending on the arrangement Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment	Pre-departure briefing to explain the range of support, advice, and guidance at the partner, and what students can continue to access from Cardiff
External location PhD	Student may be permitted, individually, to undertake their research wholly, or largely, at another organisation. The partner organisation will provide the main research environment in which the project is undertaken, but (typically) does not have degree-awarding powers	Medium	College and School	Financial failure of the partner provider Facilities and resources at the partner are not adequate to support the project Supervisor(s) provided by the partner leave the organisation or are unable to continue to supervise	College oversight of approval process Resources and facilities are explored at the approval stage – due diligence of the partner and due diligence of the partnership arrangement Individual Research Student Agreement	Supervisors at partner institution do not have adequate supervisory skills/ Experience Students may be not be integrated into the an appropriate research environment resulting in a poor student experience Lack of clarity on arrangements may impact student	At proposal stage, proposer is required to detail how support and guidance will be provided to inexperienced supervisors at the partner institution The proposal details how students will engage with the research environment Individual Research Student Agreement details the arrangements	Students may be unfamiliar with different cultural and political norms; may present difficulties for students with protected characteristics Partner may not be able to make reasonable adjustments for disabled students The nature of these adjustments may vary depending on the arrangement	Each international partner will need to be assessed on a case-by-case basis for appropriateness – relevant questions are covered in the due diligence of the partner The full proposal details the provision for disability support in place at the partner

Partnership activity	Description	Risk category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: resource (inc. financial)	Proposed mitigation (resource risks)	Risk: education governance	Proposed mitigation (education governance)	Risk: EDI	Proposed mitigation (EDI)
					identifies contingency supervision arrangements Agreement to identify if a student can transfer to a Cardiff premises	satisfaction scores (e.g. PRES survey)	Only Cardiff University regulations, policies and procedures apply	Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment	
Split-site PhD	Student spends meaningful portions of their programme at two organisations. The degree is awarded by only one of the partners. Arrangement is established for multiple intakes of student cohorts, and is typically a partnership with an international university	Medium		Financial failure of the partner provider Facilities and resources at the partner or at Cardiff are not adequate to support the project Supervisor(s) provided by either Cardiff or the partner leave the organisation or are unable to continue to supervise	Institutional oversight of approval process; institutional level agreement required Risk assessment undertaken at full proposal stage Due diligence of partner and due diligence of partnership arrangement undertaken at outline proposal stage Individual Research Student Agreement identifies contingency supervision arrangements Agreement to identify if a student can transfer to a single-site programme	Reputational risk: an agreement is entered into without due diligence on the full costs and functions Supervisors at partner institution do not have adequate supervisory skills/ experience Students may not be integrated into the an appropriate research environment resulting in a poor student experience Lack of clarity on arrangements may impact student satisfaction scores (e.g. PRES survey) and/or lead to student complaints	Intstitutional oversight of approval process; institutional level agreement required Only Cardiff University regulations, policies and procedures apply At proposal stage, proposer is required to detail how support and guidance will be provided to inexperienced supervisors at the partner institution The proposal details how students will engage with the research environment Individual Student Agreement details arrangements	Students may be unfamiliar with different cultural and political norms; may present difficulties for students with protected characteristics Partner may not be able to make reasonable adjustments for disabled students The nature of these adjustments may vary depending on the arrangement Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment	Each international partner will need to be assessed on a case-by-case basis for appropriateness – relevant questions are covered in the due diligence of the partner The full proposal details the provision for disability support in place at the partner For Cardiff 'home' students, a pre-departure briefing to explain the range of support, advice, and guidance at the partner, and what can continue to be offered by Cardiff
Double PhD	Student is awarded two separate PhDs by two partner institutions, in recognition of a jointly delivered and assessed programme. The two separate degree certificates and/or supplementary statement should refer to the other institution and make clear that the award is made in	High	School and College	Financial failure of the partner provider Facilities and resources at the partner or at Cardiff are not adequate to su	Institutional oversight of approval process; institutional level agreement required Risk assessment undertaken at full proposal stage	Reputational risk: an agreement is entered into without due diligence on the full costs and functions Cardiff University regulations, policies and procedures not appropriately applied	Intstitutional oversight of approval process; institutional level agreement required Mapping of all academic regulations, policies and procedures takes place at the full-proposal stage; any variation is stated in	Students may be unfamiliar with different cultural and political norms; may present difficulties for students with protected characteristics Partner may not be able to make reasonable	Each international partner will need to be assessed on a case-by-case basis for appropriateness – relevant questions are covered in the due diligence of the partner

Partnership activity	Description	Risk category	Team responsible for managing arrangement	Risk: resource (inc. financial)	Proposed mitigation (resource risks)	Risk: education governance	Proposed mitigation (education governance)	Risk: EDI	Proposed mitigation (EDI)
	recognition of a single, jointly conceived and delivered programme. A student cannot meet the requirements to receive one award without the other, unless the possibility of transfer to a programme bearing a single award is permitted by the partnership agreement, e.g. if the partnership is terminated. Typically a student enrolls with one of the partners first, and this will usually be the 'home' partner, taking the lead on the arrangements for that student			support the project Supervisor(s) provided by either Cardiff or the partner leave the organisation or are unable to continue to supervise	Due diligence of partner and due diligence of partnership arrangement undertaken at proposal stage Individual Research Student Agreement identifies contingency supervision arrangements Agreement to identify if a student can transfer to a single-award, not co-dependant degree	Supervisors at partner institution do not have adequate supervisory skills/ experience Students may be not be integrated into the an appropriate research environment resulting in a poor student experience Lack of clarity on arrangements may impact student satisfaction scores (e.g. PRES survey) and/or result in student complaints	the institutional agreement, as appropriate, and in the Individual Research Student Agreement At proposal stage, proposer is required to detail how support and guidance will be provided to inexperienced supervisors at the partner institution The full proposal details how students will engage with the research environment Individual Student Agreement details arrangements	adjustments for disabled students The nature of these adjustments may vary depending on the arrangement Please see the requirements of the University Integrated Impact Assessment	The full proposal details the provision for disability support in place at the partner For Cardiff 'home' students, a pre-departure briefing to explain the range of support, advice, and guidance at the partner, and what can continue to be offered by Cardiff

Joint PhD	<p>Student is awarded a single PhD jointly by two partner institutions, in recognition of a jointly delivered and assessed degree programme. The single degree certificate bears the formal authorisation of both institutions, replacing the separate institutional or national qualifications. A student must meet the requirements agreed by both institutions in order to receive the award. Typically a student enrolls with one of the partners first, and this will usually be the 'home' partner, taking the lead on the arrangements for that student</p>	High	As for Double PhD, above
------------------	--	------	--------------------------