



EXTERNAL EXAMINER ANNUAL REPORT FORM

The completion of this Report is supported by *Annual Report Form – Guidance to External Examiners*. The Guidance and this Form are available at: <http://www.cardiff.ac.uk/regis/ifs/exex/rep/index.html>. Fee information and claim forms are available at: <http://www.cardiff.ac.uk/regis/ifs/exex/fees/index.html>.

	For completion by External Examiner:		
Name of External Examiner:	Dr Jeni Harden		
Home Institution / Employer of External Examiner:	University of Edinburgh		
Programme and / or Subjects Covered by this Report:	Professional Doctorate (Social Sciences)		
Academic Year / Period Covered by this Report:	2013-2014 (SIR019)	Date of Report:	18/5/15
	2014-2015 (SIR022)		

For completion by External Examiner in the spaces provided. Please extend spaces where necessary. **Please note this Form will be published online.**

1. Programme Structure

The modules I examined fit well within the programme.

2. Academic Standards

Overall the academic standards were appropriate for the degree and stage within the degree and there were some very good pieces of work. However there were also areas of weakness that were noted:

- Lack of critical engagement in concepts particularly among weaker students
- Quality of writing was noted as an issue for a number of the students.

3. The Assessment Process

On the whole I felt that the assessment process was thorough and appropriate for the level within both modules. The feedback provided very useful comments at both the draft and final submission stage. It was very interesting to see the development in (some) of the students' work from draft to final submission. Marking criteria were consistently applied. It would be useful to have a clearer indication of how the two makers are involved, for example blind marking, negotiation of marks etc. Many of

the marks given by the 1st and 2nd marker were identical so it would be useful to know how such accuracy was achieved and how any disagreements are resolved.

4. Year-on-Year Comments

Last year I noted the comment about clearer identification of the process involving two markers but further information about this has not been included this year.

5. Preparation / Induction Activity (for new External Examiners only)

N/A.

6. Noteworthy Practice and Enhancement

- The quality of the feedback given to students' draft assignments remains very high.
- Communication from the administrative team was excellent.

7. Appointment Overview (for retiring External Examiners only)

8. Annual Report Checklist

Please include appropriate comments within Sections 1-7 above for any answer of 'No'.

		Yes (Y)	No (N)	N/A (N/A)
Programme/Course Information				
8.1	Did you receive sufficient information about the Programme and its contents, learning outcomes and assessments?	x		
8.2	Were you asked to comment on any changes to the assessment of the Programme?		x	
Draft Examination Question Papers				
8.3	Were you asked to approve all examination papers contributing to the final award?			x
8.4	Were the nature, spread and level of the questions appropriate?			x
8.5	Were suitable arrangements made to consider your comments?			x
Marking Examination Scripts				
8.6	Did you receive a sufficient number of scripts to be able to assess whether the internal marking and classifications were appropriate and consistent?			x
8.7	Was the general standard and consistency of marking appropriate?			x
8.8	Were the scripts marked in such a way as to enable you to see the reasons for the award of given marks?			x
8.9	Were you satisfied with the standard and consistency of marking applied by the internal examiners?			x
8.10	In your judgement, did you have the opportunity to examine a sufficient cross-section of candidates' work contributing to the final assessment?			x
Coursework and Practical Assessments				
8.11	Was the choice of subjects for coursework and / or practical assessments appropriate?	x		
8.12	Were you afforded access to an appropriate sample of coursework and / or practical assessments?	x		
8.13	Was the method and general standard of assessment appropriate?	x		
8.14	Is sufficient feedback provided to students on their assessed work?	x		
Clinical Examinations (if applicable)				
8.15	Were satisfactory arrangements made for the conduct of clinical assessments?			x
Sampling of Work				
8.16	Were you afforded sufficient time to consider samples of assessed work?	x		
Examining Board Meeting				

		Yes (Y)	No (N)	N/A (N/A)
8.17	Were you able to attend the Examining Board meeting?		x	
8.18	Was the Examining Board conducted properly, in accordance with established procedures and to your satisfaction?			x
8.19	Cardiff University recognises the productive contribution of External Examiners to the assessment process and, in particular, to the work of the Examining Board. Have you had adequate opportunities to discuss the Programme and any outstanding concerns with the Examining Board or its officers?	x		
Joint Examining Board Meeting (if applicable)				
8.20	Did you attend a Composite Examining Board, i.e. one convened to consider the award of Joint Honours degrees?			x
8.21	If so, were you made aware of the procedures and conventions for the award of Joint Honours degrees?			x
8.22	Was the Composite Examining Board conducted according to its rules?			x

Please return this Report, preferably in a Microsoft Word format, by email to:

ExternalExaminers@cf.ac.uk

Your fee and expenses claim form and receipts, should be sent electronically to the above email address or in hard copy to:

Clive Brown, Registry Officer, Registry & Academic Services, Cardiff University,
McKenzie House, 30-36 Newport Road, Cardiff, CF24 0DE